

Meeting	OWV2 – Workshop	
Date, Location	03OCT06, Meeting, European Commission, Brussels, Belgium	
OWII	Jean-Pierre Laisné (JPL), Cédric Thomas (CT), François Letellier (FL), Julie Marguerite (JM)	
Attendees	Bull, FT, Inria, Thales, eXo Platform, Engineering, Artemis, Alcatel, EBM, Experlog, Edifixio, AtosOrigin, Capgemini, Talend, Telefonica, Altic European Commission	
Next Steps	Next Workshop : Tuesday, November 7, 2006 (details will follow)	
Budget and Resources	<p>+ Critical issues to start the organization</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Urgent: cash issue for Q1 and Q2 and Resources (manpower paid by members) for the MO - Task force resources shortage: need members' contributions, v2 proposal should be carried by members beyond the task force. - Analyst tour postponed to a time when we have more members and ongoing Initiatives. <p>+ Budget</p> <p>Overall budget amounts to: 1300K Pipe currently covers approx. 50% of needed budget. Need external funding. Target: 30 members including 10 to 15 30K-members + find 200K external funding.</p> <p>No cash input before the legal entity is created, members will give funding directly to the association, when it is registered and can issue invoices, not to Inria.</p> <p><u>Todo: Special Budget Meeting</u> Discuss and validate a budget proposal by the end of october with: Thierry Lapresle, Bull, Serge Druais, Thales, Valère Robin, FT (meeting will take place on 17OCT06).</p> <p>We can bootstrap the organization with the members currently identified and minimal services.</p> <p>+ Resources</p> <p>Need more resources for the MO to secure the 6 functions. Urgent: come up with a solution for legal support to help write the documents</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⇒ FT can provide French speaking lawyers ⇒ Need also English speaking lawyer to write professional documents (IPR, membership, bylaws) ⇒ Cost for external lawyer council evaluated at 30-50K min <p><u>Todo: Cédric to provide position descriptions for the MO</u></p> <p>+ New members recruitment</p> <p>Currently, 40 prospects. OW seen as French! OWV2 must be more global. Need to internationalize membership.</p> <p><u>Todo:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Cédric to circulate the list of prospects individually to OW members asking for it - V1 Exec team to communicate about V2 toward OW membership 	
Legal Issues	<p>+ Legal documents</p> <p>Membership Agreement to be finalized.</p> <p>Book of procedure to be written (describes the day to day management of the organization). Book of procedure is part of the binding document for the association, but possibly can be drafted internally and progressively improved, complement to be endorsed by the board</p> <p>Consider building legal doc as a project: define requirements and evaluate effort and quantify the amount of work, define the level of investments that is required. Legal department of large companies can review the doc but will not write 1st version.</p> <p><u>Todo:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⇒ Cédric and Stefano to work on formal description of what is required for the legal docs 	

File : 061012-CR-2ndWorkshopOWv2.doc	Remark: Meeting Minutes	Author: Julie Marguerite
Last Revised by: Cedric Thomas	Date: Thursday 12 October 2006	Page: 1 / 2

	<p>⇒ Circulate the requirements and understand who can provide the effort</p> <p>⇒ Cédric to draft the list by next week and circulate it to several companies: Engineering, Thales, FT, Inria, Bull</p> <p>+ legal entity registration Decision: Association under French laws with HQ in Brussels; will be registered by Bull in Versailles prefecture.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Need support from members to get an office in Brussels. Thales could host OW in Brussels. - To be able to register the organization the following documents are needed: Bylaws in French, BofP, IPR policy, Membership Agreement
Initiatives	<p>Some issues to address: users involvement, how to get professional support from Initiative, end of life of an Initiative.</p> <p>+ Should participants in a Initiative be OW members? An issue for e-Gov Initiative for instance: Initiatives should be able to welcome users and governmental organizations even if they are not OW members.</p> <p>If Initiative can contribute to the OW ecosystem, non-OW members can also contribute to OW ecosystem.</p> <p>A “User Group” should be identified in each Initiative. Users participation modalities should be defined in the Initiative charter especially for Users which are not OW Members.</p> <p>Decision: Only OW members can propose an Initiative but Initiatives can welcome non OW members.</p> <p>An Initiative can also generate new projects and invite new projects.</p> <p>+ OW position regarding standard bodies Initiatives are not in the process of defining new standards. They should comply with open standards, and eventually help standard they are interested in to become de facto standards.</p> <p>+ Architecture Define two categories of components within an Initiative:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - CORE: minimum set of components defining the Initiative - OTHER: other components which can be either provided by OW members or substituted by third-party, non-OW components <p>+ IPR policy definition Define an overall IP mechanism to combine projects within an Initiative. Try to keep it as simple as possible. Each project retain its IP status. Initiatives must ensure the projects they integrate are not IP-incompatible.</p> <p>+ Initiatives Risks</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Initiatives could develop in opposite directions. Consistency between Initiatives is required. - Initiatives need a clear roadmap at the end of incubation phase and should respect it. Identify “early warning” signs of Initiative divergence. - Overlapping areas within Initiatives: If a company participate to more than 1 Initiative, the scope of the contribution must be clear to avoid duplication of efforts. - Role of councils ecosystem and techno to make sure that a company does not participate in too many Initiatives + ensure consistency of the consortium
Web Platform	<p>Inria provided info to Thales regarding web infra hosting and migration effort.</p> <p>Todo: plan a meeting between Inria, Thales and Bull to discuss web infra hosting requirement and migration plan.</p>